

## REMARKS

Based on the enclosed Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.131, the examiner's rejection of Claims 1-30 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) should now be removed and these claims allowed.

Also, in the examiner's rejection of claims 1-30, the examiner has indicated that the published Zweig application discloses an astronomical observatory (page 2, 0016, 0018) This is not true! Zweig does disclose a computerized mobile robot with an onboard internet web server connected to a remote web browser on the internet. Also, the mobile robot can control robotic telescopes, video cameras, security systems, sensors, manufacturing equipment, etc. There is no disclosure or teaching in Zweig dealing with the operation of astronomical hardware at a fixed astronomical observatory site and under the remote control of a web browser and a web server for making celestial observations.

Claims 1-30 should be allowed and the application passed to issue.

## CONCLUSION

Re-examination, reconsideration and allowance of the application are requested in view of the foregoing remarks and the Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.131. Should the examiner have any questions regarding this amendment it is urged that she contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

*Edwin H. Crabtree*

Edwin H. Crabtree, Patent Attorney  
Registration Number 26,720  
3773 Cherry Creek N. Dr. Suite 575  
Denver, Colorado 80209  
303 322 7460

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10(c)  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the examiner with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope, addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on Oct 12, 2005.  
Date: 10/12/05 Edwin H. Crabtree  
Applicant's Attorney